

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
10/714,289		11/13/2003	Volker Boettiger	DE920020042US1	2031
7590 11/29/2007		EX/		AMINER	
The McConnell Law Firm 2115 Saint Mary's Street Raleigh, NC 27608			[ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED: 11/29/2007

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			(17)			
		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
lotifica	ation of Non-Compliant Appeal Brief	10/714,289	BOETTIGER, VOLKER			
	(37 CFR 41.37)	Examiner	Art Unit			
		Ahshik Kim	2876			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication app	pears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address			
The Ap	peal Brief filed on is defective for failure	to comply with one or more provi	sions of 37 CFR 41.37.			
1205.0	d dismissal of the appeal, applicant must file an 3) within ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS from t ISIONS OF THIS TIME PERIOD MAY BE GRA	the mailing date of this Notification				
1.	The brief does not contain the items required unheading or in the proper order.	under 37 CFR 41.37(c), or the ite	ms are not under the proper			
2. 🗌	The brief does not contain a statement of the status of all claims, (e.g., rejected, allowed, withdrawn, objected to, canceled), or does not identify the appealed claims (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iii)).					
3. 🗌	At least one amendment has been filed subsequent to the final rejection, and the brief does not contain a statement of the status of each such amendment (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(iv)).					
4. 🛚	(a) The brief does not contain a concise explanation of the subject matter defined in each of the independent claims involved in the appeal, referring to the specification by page and line number and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters; and/or (b) the brief fails to: (1) identify, for each independent claim involved in the appeal and for each dependent claim argued separately, every means plus function and step plus function under 35 U.S.C. 112, sixth paragraph, and/or (2) set forth the structure, material, or acts described in the specification as corresponding to each claimed function with reference to the specification by page and line number, and to the drawings, if any, by reference characters (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(v)).					
5.	The brief does not contain a concise statement of each ground of rejection presented for review (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vi))					
6. 🗌	The brief does not present an argument under a separate heading for each ground of rejection on appeal (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(vii)).					
7.	The brief does not contain a correct copy of the appealed claims as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(viii)).					
8.	The brief does not contain copies of the evidence submitted under 37 CFR 1.130, 1.131, or 1.132 or of any other evidence entered by the examiner and relied upon by appellant in the appeal , along with a statement setting forth where in the record that evidence was entered by the examiner, as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(ix)).					
9. 🗌	The brief does not contain copies of the decisions rendered by a court or the Board in the proceeding identified in the Related Appeals and Interferences section of the brief as an appendix thereto (37 CFR 41.37(c)(1)(x)).					
10.	Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):					
·	Re 4 above, see Continuation Sheet.					
	•					

Ahshik Kim Primary Examiner AU 2876

Continuation Sheet (PTOL-462)

Application No. 10/714,289

Continuation of 4. Other (including any explanation in support of the above items):

- 4-1. The summary of invention may include item number (or designation) in the drawings if the items are used in description of the summary of invention.
- 4-2. Appellant lists the rejected claims under the Summary of claimed subject matter and explanations of the subject matter of each claim appealed. However, Appellant stated that claim 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 USC 102(b) by the disclosure of Levine U.S. Patent 6,188,309 (See appeal brief page 7). However, claims 14 and 15 are rejected as obvious under 35 USC 103 in view of the disclosure of Levine U.S. Patent 6,188,309 modified in view of the disclosure of Housman U.S. Patent 3,541,499. Appeal Brief also states that Claims 14 and 15 are rejected under 35 USC 102 in Grounds of rejection to be reviewed section (See appeal brief page 11). Both occurrences need to be corrected.